



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/923,923	08/07/2001	Richard D. Martin	401-13U1	9008
570	7590	09/29/2009		
PANITCH SCHWARZE BELISARIO & NADEL LLP		EXAMINER		
ONE COMMERCE SQUARE		SIDDIQI, MOHAMMAD A		
2005 MARKET STREET, SUITE 2200		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103		2454		
		NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		09/29/2009		ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptomail@panitchlaw.com

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/923,923	MARTIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MOHAMMAD A. SIDDIQI	2454	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MOHAMMAD A. SIDDIQI. (3) Richard Martin.

(2) Clark A. Jablon. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 03 September 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: 6,151,609.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion was held to explain objection to specification and 101 rejections. Prior art rejection over Truong was also discussed. Proposed amendment was discussed to clarify the scope of the invention. Remarks in outstanding amendment were discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Mohammad A Siddiqi/
Examiner, Art Unit 2454